

Sphere coverings and identifying codes

David Auger · Gérard Cohen · Sihem Mesnager

Received: 8 November 2011 / Revised: 26 January 2012 / Accepted: 10 February 2012
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2012

Abstract In any connected, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, the *distance* $d(x, y)$ between two vertices x and y of G is the minimum number of edges in a path linking x to y in G . A *sphere* in G is a set of the form $S_r(x) = \{y \in V : d(x, y) = r\}$, where x is a vertex and r is a nonnegative integer called the *radius* of the sphere. We first address in this paper the following question: What is the minimum number of spheres with fixed radius $r \geq 0$ required to cover all the vertices of a finite, connected, undirected graph G ? We then turn our attention to the Hamming Hypercube of dimension n , and we show that the minimum number of spheres *with any radii* required to cover this graph is either n or $n + 1$, depending on the parity of n . We also relate the two above problems to other questions in combinatorics, in particular to identifying codes.

Keywords Sphere coverings · Identifying codes · Hamming spaces

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C70 · 05D05 · 94B25 · 94C12

This is one of several papers published in *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* comprising the “Special Issue on Coding Theory and Applications”.

D. Auger
INRIA Saclay and University of Paris XI, Paris, France
e-mail: auger@gmx.fr

G. Cohen (✉)
Telecom-Paristech, UMR 5141, CNRS, Paris, France
e-mail: cohen@telecom-paristech.fr

S. Mesnager
Department of Mathematics, University of Paris VIII and University of Paris XIII, CNRS UMR 7539
LAGA, Paris, France
e-mail: smesnager@univ-paris8.fr

1 Introduction

We define identifying codes in a connected, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, in which a *code* is simply a nonempty subset of vertices. These definitions can help, in various meanings, to unambiguously determine a vertex. The motivations may come from processor networks where we wish to locate a faulty vertex under certain conditions, or from the need to identify an individual, given its set of attributes. Then we turn our attention to the Hamming Hypercube of dimension n , and we show that the minimum number of spheres *with any radii* required to cover this graph is either n or $n + 1$, depending on $n \bmod 2$. We also relate the two above problems to other questions in combinatorics, in particular to identifying codes. In G we define the usual distance $d(v_1, v_2)$ between two vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V$ as the smallest possible number of edges in any path between them. For an integer $r \geq 0$ and a vertex $v \in V$, we define $B_r(v)$ the *ball* (resp. $S_r(v)$ the *sphere*) of radius r centred at v , as the set of vertices within (resp. at) distance r from v . Whenever two vertices v_1 and v_2 are such that $v_1 \in B_r(v_2)$ (or, equivalently, $v_2 \in B_r(v_1)$), we say that they *r-cover* each other. Similarly, if v_1 and v_2 are such that $v_1 \in S_r(v_2)$ (or, equivalently, $v_2 \in S_r(v_1)$), we say that they *exactly r-cover* each other. A set $X \subseteq V$ (exactly) *r-covers* a set $Y \subseteq V$ if every vertex in Y is (exactly) *r-covered* by at least one vertex in X . The elements of a code $C \subseteq V$ are called *codewords*. For each vertex $v \in V$, we denote by $K_{C,r}(v) = C \cap B_r(v)$ the set of codewords *r-covering* v . Analogously, we denote by $X_{C,r}(v) = C \cap S_r(v)$ the set of codewords *exactly r-covering* v . Two vertices v_1 and v_2 with $K_{C,r}(v_1) \neq K_{C,r}(v_2)$ are said to be *r-separated* by code C , and any codeword belonging to exactly one of the two sets $B_r(v_1)$ and $B_r(v_2)$ is said to *r-separate* v_1 and v_2 ;

A code $C \subseteq V$ is called *r-identifying* [6] if all the sets $K_{C,r}(v)$, $v \in V$, are nonempty and distinct. In other words, every vertex is *r-covered* by at least one codeword, and every pair of vertices is *r-separated* by at least one codeword.

2 Identifying and covering by spheres

2.1 Mediating codes

It is proved in [3] (Corollary 4) that identifying codes give special coverings by spheres. In fact, a weaker property than identification, namely mediation, that we now define, will already be sufficient for that purpose. A code $C \subseteq V$ is called *r-mediating* if every vertex is *r-covered* by at least one codeword, but the property that $K_{C,r}(v_1)$ and $K_{C,r}(v_2)$ be distinct is only required for *adjacent* vertices v_1 and v_2 . This implies in fact that for any two *adjacent* vertices v_1 and v_2 , there exists a codeword c with $v_i \in S_r(c)$ and $v_j \in S_{r+1}(c)$, with $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. For $L \subset [0, n]$, define an *L-shell* by: $S_L(v) = \{x \in V : d(x, v) \in L\}$. Thus $B_r(v) = S_{[0,r]}(v)$, $S_r(v) = S_{\{r\}}(v)$.

Proposition 1 *If C is r -mediating, then $\cup_{c \in C} \{S_{[r,r+1]}(c)\} = V$. In words, V is covered by the $L = \{r, r + 1\}$ -shells centered at codewords.*

Proof Suppose indirectly C mediating and the existence of a vertex v uncovered by such shells. Then for all $c \in C$, $d(v, c) \leq r - 1$ or $\geq r + 2$. Thus, $K_{C,r}(v) \subset B_{r-1}(v)$. Consider any v' adjacent to v ; then $K_{C,r}(v) \subset B_r(v')$ by the triangle inequality and thus $K_{C,r}(v) \subset K_{C,r}(v')$. Since $K_{C,r}(v) \neq K_{C,r}(v')$ by the mediation property, there exists a $c \in C$ with $d(c, v') = r$ and $d(c, v) = r + 1$, a contradiction. \square

2.2 Lower bounds for sphere coverings

A special kind of sphere covering is studied in [4], *exact r -step domination*. This corresponds to the requirement that any vertex is exactly r -covered by a *unique* codeword: $|X_{C,r}(v)| = 1$, for every $v \in V$. It is proved in [4] that every such code has size at least $\log_2 r + 1$. The proof extends in fact trivially to the relaxed case of sphere covering:

Proposition 2 *If C is a covering of V by r -spheres, then $|C| \geq \log_2 r + 1$.*

We need a few more definitions and easy facts. The *diameter* $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ of a graph G is the maximum distance between two vertices. The *radius* $\rho(G) = \rho$ is the minimum integer such that $B_\rho(v) = V$ for some $v \in V$; such a v is called a *center*. If C is r -identifying, then $r \leq \rho \leq \Delta \leq 2\rho$, with a unique center in case of equality $r = \rho$.

Consider a maximal path \mathcal{P} of length Δ in G , and a codeword $c \in C$, a r -sphere covering. We show that c cannot cover too many vertices of \mathcal{P} and deduce a lower bound on $|C|$.

Proposition 3 $|S_r(c) \cap \mathcal{P}| \leq 2r + 1$.

Proof Denote by $[v^1, v^{\Delta+1}]$ the vertices of \mathcal{P} , identified with $[1, \Delta + 1]$. Let $i \in \mathcal{P}$ be the “smallest” vertex r -covered by c , and j the “largest”. Note that we do not necessarily have that $[i, j] \in \mathcal{P}$; thus $|S_r(c) \cap \mathcal{P}| \leq j - i + 1$. Since $d(c, i) = d(c, j) = r$, by the triangle inequality $d(i, j) = j - i \leq 2r$. \square

Corollary 4 *A r -sphere covering C of a graph satisfies: $|C| \geq \Delta/(2r + 1) \geq \rho/(2r + 1)$.*

2.3 A construction

An example of exact r -domination is given in [4] with the following parameters:

$$\Delta = 9, r = 6, |C| = 4 = 2r/3.$$

From this example, we can easily construct, for an infinite number of r 's (multiples of 6), a graph inheriting an exact r -dominating code (thus a r -sphere covering) C with $|C| = 2r/3$.

3 Covering the hamming space by spheres

We now focus on the binary Hamming space of dimension n , also called the binary n -cube, which is a regular bipartite graph. We need to give some specific definitions and notation. We consider the n -cube as the set of binary row-vectors of length n , denote it by $G = (F^n, E)$ with $F = \{0, 1\}$ and $E = \{\{x, y\} : d(x, y) = 1\}$, the usual graph distance $d(x, y)$ between two vectors x and y being called here the *Hamming distance* — it simply consists of the number of coordinates where x and y differ. A sort of converse of Proposition 1 is proved in [5]

Proposition 5 *If $0 < r \leq n - 2$ and C_0 is such that $\cup_{c \in C_0} \{S_{\{r, r+1\}}(c)\} = F^n$, then $C := \cup_{c \in C_0} \{S_1(c)\}$ is r -identifying.*

The following result is proved in [1, 2]:

Theorem 6 *If C is a covering by L -shells, then $|C| \geq n/|L|$.*

Note that this bound is generally weaker than the trivial *sphere-covering* bound: $|C| \geq 2^n/|S_L|$, unless L is centered around $n/2$ (in which case $|S_L| \approx 2^n$).

Corollary 7 *A r -mediating code has size at least $n/2$.*

Proof By Proposition 1, such a code is L -covering with $|L| = 2$. \square

We now present a generalization of the previous theorem to the case where each codeword c^i is surrounded by its own L_i -shell (we allow multisets for codes).

For $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in F^n$, it is easy to see that

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + y_i - 2x_i y_i).$$

Theorem 8 *Consider $k \geq 1$ vertices x^1, x^2, \dots, x^k (not necessarily distinct) of F^n and k non-negative radii r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k such that*

$$F^n = \bigcup_{j=1}^k S_{r_j}(x^j).$$

Then $k \geq n$ if n is even, and $k \geq n + 1$ if n is odd.

Let us denote by U the set of all $y \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$. A vector $y \in U$ is said to be *even* if its number of -1 is even, otherwise it is *odd*. We shall need the following (Lemma 1 from [1]).

Lemma 9 *Let $P(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a n -multilinear function over the reals with degree strictly less than $\frac{n}{2}$, i.e.*

$$P(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_X \lambda_X \prod_{i \in X} y_i$$

where the sum is taken over all subsets X of $[n]$ of size $|X| < \frac{n}{2}$. Suppose that $P(y) = 0$ for all even $y \in U$ (or similarly for all odd $y \in U$), then $P = 0$.

Proof of the theorem For $x \in F^n$, consider the vector $\bar{x} \in U$ with $\bar{x}_i = 1$ if $x_i = 0$ and $\bar{x}_i = -1$ if $x_i = 1$; thus $\bar{x}_i = 1 - 2x_i$ so for $x, y \in F^n$ we have $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(n - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i \bar{y}_i)$. Let us call a vertex $v \in F^n$ even if $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$ is even, otherwise odd. With the previous notation, x is even if and only \bar{x} is even. Now if $v, w \in F^n$ then $d(v, w)$ is even if and only if v and w have the same parity. Hence for even $v \in F^n$ we have $d(v, x^j) - r_j$ even if and only if x_j and r_j have the same parity: let us denote by J the set of $j \in \{1 \dots k\}$ with this property. We then have

$$\prod_{j \in J} (d(v, x^j) - r_j) = 0$$

for all even $v \in F^n$, and so

$$Q(y) = \prod_{j \in J} (n - 2r_j - \langle \bar{x}^j, y \rangle) / 2$$

vanishes over all even $y \in U$. Moreover, $Q(y) \neq 0$ if $y \in U$ is odd. Using the fact that $(-1)^2 = 1$, we can expand Q and simplify all squares of variables in the expansion of Q , to obtain a multilinear polynomial P with $P(y) = Q(y) = 0$ for all even $y \in U$, and $P(y) = Q(y) \neq 0$ for all odd $y \in U$. Using the lemma, we see that the degree of P is at least $\frac{n}{2}$: we conclude that $|J| \geq \frac{n}{2}$. The same argument holds if we consider the set K of $j \in [k]$ such that x^j and r_j do not have the same parity: we have $|K| \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Putting these facts together we see that $k \geq n$ if n is even, and $k \geq n + 1$ if n is odd. \square

The bounds given in the theorem are tight : indeed, for any vertex x we have

$$F^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n S_{\{i\}}(x).$$

If n is even then

$$F^n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{\{i\}}(x) \cup S_{n/2}(y)$$

where y is any vertex satisfying $d(x, y) = n/2$.

Corollary 10 *Let $C = \{c^i\}$ be a covering by L_i -shells, then $\sum_i |L_i| \geq n$.*

4 Open problems

In the general case, we have the following extension of Corollary 10:

Conjecture Let $C = \{c^i\}$ be a covering of a graph G by L_i -shells, then $\sum_i |L_i| = \Omega(\rho(G))$.

Worth studying is the following specialization of identifying codes to *exact identification*: C is a covering of V by r -spheres and furthermore all the sets $X_{C,r}(v)$, $v \in V$, are nonempty and distinct.

Also, it would be interesting to narrow the gap between lower and upper bounds for coverings of graphs by r -spheres.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to the referees for their constructive remarks which led to a more concise and accurate version of the paper.

References

1. Alon N., Bergmann E., Coppersmith D., Odlyzko A.: Balancing sets of vectors. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **34**(1), 128–130 (1988).
2. Cohen G., Honkala I., Litsyn S., Lobstein A.: *Covering codes*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (1997).
3. Exoo G., Junnila V., Laihonon T., Ranto S.: Upper bounds for binary identifying codes. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **42**, 277–289 (2009).
4. Hersh P.: On exact n -step domination. *Discret. Math.* **205**, 235–239 (1999).
5. Honkala I., Lobstein A.: On identifying codes in binary Hamming spaces. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **99**, 232–243 (2002).
6. Karpovsky M.G., Chakrabarty K., Levitin L.B.: On a new class of codes for identifying vertices in graphs. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **44**(2), 599–611 (1998).