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#### Abstract

Minimal linear codes are linear codes such that the support of every codeword does not contain the support of another linearly independent codeword. Such codes have applications in cryptography, e.g. to secret sharing. We consider here quasi-minimal, $t$-minimal, and $t$-quasi-minimal linear codes, which are new variations on this notion.

Part of this work was done by the first two authors and presented at 4th International Castle Meeting on Coding Theory and Applications Palmela, Portugal, 15-18 September 2014; an extended version with all proofs has been submitted to AMC ([CMR14]).
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## I. Introduction and notation

A minimal codeword [Mas93], [Mas95] $c$ of a linear code $C$ is a codeword such that its support (set of non-zero coordinates) does not contain the support of another linearly independent codeword. Minimal codewords are useful for defining access structures in some secret sharing schemes. This led to work on how to find codes where all codewords are minimal. The problem of finding a code satisfying this condition, called a minimal linear code has first been envisioned in [DY03] and later studied in [SL12], [CCP13]. In [CCP13], another motivation is a new proposal for secure two-party computation, where it is required that minimal linear codes be used to ensure privacy. Minimal codes are close to the notions of intersecting and separating codes [CL85], [CELS03], [Ran13a], hashing and parent-identifying codes [ACKL03], [CS04]. Such codes have been suggested for applications to oblivious transfer [BCS96], secret sharing [ABCH95], [AB98], [DY03], [SL12] broadcast encryption or digital fingerprinting [Sch06].

We denote by $|F|$ the cardinality of a set $F$. Let $q=p^{h}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $h \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. An $\left[n, k, d, d_{\max }\right]_{q}$ code is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ of dimension $k$. The last two parameters refer to the minimal (resp. maximal) Hamming distance between two codewords of $\mathcal{C}$, or, equivalently, the minimal (resp. maximal) Hamming weight of a codeword of $\mathcal{C}$; they will be omitted when irrelevant. Normalized parameters will be denoted by $R=k / n, \delta=d / n, \delta_{\max }=d_{\max } / n$.

The support of a codeword $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\operatorname{supp}(c)=\{i \in$ $\left.\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid c_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. The Hamming weight of a codeword $c \in \mathcal{C}$ denoted by $w t(c)$ is the cardinality of its support :
$w t(c)=|\operatorname{supp}(c)|$. A codeword $c$ covers a codeword $c^{\prime}$ if $\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{supp}(c)$.

## II. $t$-MINIMAL AND $t$-QUASI-MINIMAL CODES

Minimal and quasi-minimal linear codes are defined by conditions of non-inclusion or non-equality of the supports of linearly independent codewords. We now strengthen these notions by requesting that these conditions of non-inclusion or non-equality be guaranteed by at least $t \geq 1$ of the coordinates.

## A. Definition and properties

Definition 1. - A codeword $c$ is $t$-minimal if: $\forall c^{\prime} \in C,\left(\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}(c)\right|<t\right) \Longrightarrow c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \cdot c$.

- A codeword $c$ is $t$-quasi-minimal if:

$$
\forall c^{\prime} \in C,\left(\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \triangle \operatorname{supp}(c)\right|<t\right) \Longrightarrow c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \cdot c
$$

Here $\triangle$ denotes symmetric difference $A \triangle B=(A \backslash B) \cup$ $(B \backslash A)$.

Note that for $t=1$ this definition reduces to the notions of minimality and quasi-minimality previously considered in [CMP13]. It also makes sense when $c$ is the zero codeword.

Definition 2. A linear code $C$ is t-minimal (resp. t-quasiminimal) if every codeword $c \in C$ is $t$-minimal (resp. $t$-quasiminimal).
Proposition 3. We have the following diagram of implications between properties of $C$ :

$t$-quasi-minimal $\Longrightarrow$ quasi-minimal
with the last one holding only for $q>2$.

## B. (Asymptotic) lower bounds

Theorem 4. Suppose $\tau<\frac{q-1}{q^{2}}$ and

$$
R<1-\frac{1}{2}\left((1-\tau) \log _{q}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)+H_{q}(\tau)\right)
$$

Then there exists an asymptotic family of $[n, k]$ codes that are $t$-minimal, with $k \sim R n$ and $t \sim \tau n$.

Theorem 5. Suppose $\tau<\frac{2 q-2}{q^{2}}$ and

$$
R<1-\frac{1}{2}\left((1-\tau) \log _{q}\left(q^{2} / 2-q+1\right)+H_{q}(\tau)+\log _{q}(2)\right)
$$

Then there exists an asymptotic family of $[n, k]$ codes that are $t$-quasi-minimal, with $k \sim R n$ and $t \sim \tau n$.

## C. A construction

Proposition 6. Let $C_{1}$ be $t_{1}$-minimal (resp. $t_{1}$-quasi-minimal) and $C_{2}$ be $t_{2}$-minimal (resp. $t_{2}$-quasi-minimal). Then $C_{1} \otimes C_{2}$ is $t_{1} t_{2}$-minimal (resp. $t_{1} t_{2}$-quasi-minimal).

Proof: We view codewords of $C_{1} \otimes C_{2}$ as matrices with rows in $C_{2}$ and columns in $C_{1}$. So given two codewords $m, m^{\prime} \in C_{1} \otimes C_{2}$, we let $r^{i}, r^{\prime i} \in C_{2}$ be their $i$-th row and $c^{j}, c^{\prime j} \in C_{1}$ their $j$-th column, respectively.

First we deal with minimality. Suppose

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}(m)\right|<t_{1} t_{2} .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\left\{i ;\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(r^{\prime i}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(r^{i}\right)\right| \geq t_{2}\right\} \\
& J=\left\{j ;\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime j}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{j}\right)\right| \geq t_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then necessarily we have $|I|<t_{1}$ and $|J|<t_{2}$.
Now since $C_{2}$ is $t_{2}$-minimal, for each $i \notin I$, there is $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $r^{\prime i}=\lambda_{i} r^{i}$. This implies that for each $j$, we have $\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime j}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{j}\right) \subset I$, so $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime j}\right) \backslash \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{j}\right)\right| \leq|I|<t_{1}$, which means $J=\emptyset$. By symmetry we also get $I=\emptyset$.

To conclude it suffices to show all $\lambda_{i}$ with $r^{i} \neq 0$ are equal. So suppose $r^{i_{1}}, r^{i_{2}} \neq 0$. By Proposition 3, $C_{2}$ is intersecting, so we can choose $j \in \operatorname{supp}\left(r^{i_{1}}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(r^{i_{2}}\right)$. Then, since $J=\emptyset$ and $C_{1}$ is $t_{1}$-minimal, there is $\mu_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $c^{j}=\mu_{j} c^{j}$. Looking at the $\left(i_{1}, j\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j\right)$ entries, this gives $\lambda_{i_{1}}=\mu_{j}=\lambda_{i_{2}}$, as claimed.

Now we deal with quasi-minimality. For $q=2$ the result is already known, since $t$-quasi-minimality just means minimum distance at least $t$. So we can suppose $q>2$. We then proceed exactly as above, with symmetric difference $\triangle$ replacing ordinary set difference $\backslash$, and with the $\lambda_{i}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$instead of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. In the last step we will need $C_{2}$ to be intersecting, which is true for $q>2$ by Proposition 3 again.

## D. A sufficient condition

Theorem 7. Let $C$ be a linear $\left[n, k, d, d_{\max }\right]_{q}$ code;
if $(q-1) d>(q-2) d_{\max }+q(t-1) / 2$, then $C$ is $t$-quasiminimal.

Proof: Let $C$ be a linear $[n, k, d]_{q}$ code and let $c, c^{\prime}$ be two linearly independent codewords of $C$ such that $\mid \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \triangle$ $\operatorname{supp}(c) \mid<t$. Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then, w.l.o.g., after a suitable permutation of coordinates, one can write $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ by blocks, in the following way (where $\eta$ and $\theta$ denote blocks of nonzero elements with total length $|\eta|+|\theta| \leq t)$ :
$c \quad=\quad \beta_{0}\|\ldots\| \beta_{q-2}\|\eta\| 0\|0\|$ and $c^{\prime}=$

Let $A_{i}$ be the size of the (possibly empty) block $\beta_{i}$. Then $w t\left(\alpha^{j} c\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{q-2} A_{i}+|\eta|$ and $w t\left(c^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{q-2} A_{i}+|\theta|$. We also have, for $j=0, \ldots, q-2, S_{j}:=d\left(\alpha^{j} c, c^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i \neq j} A_{i}+|\eta|+$ $|\theta| \geq d$. If we sum all these inequalities and set $S:=\sum S_{j}$, we get
$(q-1) d \leq S=(q-2) \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} A_{i}+(q-1)(|\eta|+|\theta|)=$ $(q-2)\left(w t(c)+w t\left(c^{\prime}\right)\right) / 2+q(|\eta|+|\theta|) / 2 \leq(q-2) d_{\max }+$ $q(t-1) / 2$,
a contradiction. Thus, $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ cannot exist and $C$ is $t$-quasiminimal.
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